UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/776,522 | 02/12/2004 | Yohei Makuta | 0505-1268P | 4129 |
| | 7590 04/15/201 ART KOLASCH & BI | EXAMINER | | |
| PO BOX 747 | CH MA 22040 0747 | GEBREMICHAEL, BRUK A | | |
| FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 3715 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/15/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|----------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/776,522 | MAKUTA, YOHEI | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| BRUK A. GEBREMICHAEL | 3715 | |

| | BROK A. GEBREWICHAEL | 37 13 | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection | n. | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | f). | | | | | | | |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext | | | | | | | | |
| under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | than three months after the mailing dat | | | | | | | |
| NOTICE OF AFFEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be t | filed within two months | of the date of | | | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal was filed of A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| 3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t | | | cause | | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | ΓE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | • | | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | ne issues for | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>The amendment made to the claims changed consideration.</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | ges the scope of the claims which r | equires further search | and/or | | | | | |
| 4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | owable if submitted in a separate, t | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | 5 | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | I be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14 and 16-22. | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14 and 10-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails | s to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: | | | | | | | | |
| io. 🗀 Ouici | | | | | | | | |
| | /Cameron Saadat/ | nit 3715 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |